

2011 Military Health System Conference

Improving the Quality of Patient Care Utilizing Tracer Methodology

The Quadruple Aim: Working Together, Achieving Success

Leslie Atkins, RN

25 Jan 2011



USA MEDDAC Bavaria

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 25 JAN 2011		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improving the Quality of Patient Care Utilizing Tracer Methodology				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Army Medical Command,USA MEDDAC Bavaria,APO,AE				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES presented at the 2011 Military Health System Conference, January 24-27, National Harbor, Maryland					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 11	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Tracer Methodology



■ Tracer Objectives

- Facilitate delivery of quality, comprehensive and safe healthcare to BMEDDAC beneficiaries.

- Evaluate organizational compliance with national healthcare standards.
- Staff education and training at point of care; on site peer support.
- Assess and promote consumer awareness on healthcare quality and safety standards.

BMEDDDAC Tracer Process



■ Implementation and Design

-Tracer Team

- Core Tracer Team established and trained on patient and system tracers.
- Joint Commission Sustainment Officer lead on Tracer Team.
- Core Tracer Team supplemented with staff from clinics on a rotating basis.
- Each Tracer Team member assigned a tracer tool to complete.

BMEDDAC Tracer Process



- Tracer Tools
 - Standardization established for all tracer tools
 - Tracer tools developed for each chapter of The Joint Commission's Standards for Ambulatory Care manual.
 - Standards evaluated as compliant/noncompliant based upon criteria listed on tracer tool.
 - Tracer tool specified the number of observation points for each metric measured.

BMEDDAC Tracer Process



■ Tracer Schedule

– Quarterly activities

- 1st month of the quarter the BMEDDAC Tracer Team surveys clinic (all 7 clinics are surveyed each quarter).
- 2nd month of quarter clinic addresses findings from BMEDDAC Tracer Team.
- 3rd month of quarter clinic conducts internal tracer utilizing tracer tools; report is submitted to the BMEDDAC Joint Commission Sustainment Officer.

BMEDDAC Tracer Process



- Performance measurement
 - Metrics
 - Standards were measured utilizing direct observation, staff and patient interview and retroactive record audits.
 - Metrics documented with the denominator being number of observations or contacts and numerator rate of compliance.
 - A minimum number of observations for each metric established.
 - 100 point scale utilized to evaluate compliance.

Resources



- Resources required
 - Fiscal resources
 - Staff reimbursement for travel and/or TDY entitlements.
 - Time commitment required of team members.

Results



- Organizational impact
 - Beneficial Process
 - Decentralized knowledge and information from headquarters to every staff member within organization.
 - Increased visibility and interaction between headquarter personnel and the clinics.
 - Provided opportunities for clinics to intermingle.
 - All staff members engaged to participate in tracer activity and created transparency between clinic and patients.

Challenges



- Hurdles to overcome
 - Challenges within the process
 - Availability of tracer team members-particularly clinical staff
 - Time commitment to conduct tracers
 - Distance required for travel to conduct tracer
 - Continuous turn over in clinic staff with real and potential loss of institutional knowledge

Conclusions



- Adaptable process
 - Practical
 - Process provides organization quantifiable data on the quality and safety of healthcare delivery.
 - Promotes staff teamwork and staff buy in as an active and valuable asset in quality and safety.
 - Involves the patient as an active member of the healthcare team.

Questions/Comments



Leslie Atkins, RN
Patient Safety Manager
USA MEDDAC Bavaria

DSN: 314-467-2986
CIV: 011-49-9802-83-2986
E-mail: leslie.atkins@amedd.army.mil